

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,047	10/28/2003	Richard J. Nason	INT-5003	5988
27777 7:	590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			SUGARMAN, SCOTT J	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW BRUNS	WICK, NJ 08933-7003		2873	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H'Y
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/695,047	NASON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott J. Sugarman	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	I V IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	NTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communica DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-1	3-05.		
· · ·	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		s, prosecution as to the merits	is is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 2003</u> is/ar		ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	-	ceived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ceived.	
	,		
A44k			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	omanı (PTO 442)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roffman et al (US 6,196,685). Roffman teaches a method for designing an ophthalmic lens having the steps of providing a lens having a first design; identifying at least one point of regard for the lens (in this case the print through); obtaining information regarding the lens performance using the at least one point of regard (amount of print through); then modifying the first design using information obtained regarding the lens performance using the at least one point of regard to provide a second lens having a second design. The lens can be a multifocal lens or monofocal, depending on the amount of print through (col. 3, lines 17-27). Although the lens of Roffman et al is a contact lens, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a similar feedback type methodology in a spectacle lens, since designing spectacle and contact lenses have similar constraints except for working distance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and as published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shoane (US 2002/0187860). Shoane teaches an apparatus for measuring head and eye movement having a head movement sensor, a scene camera, an eye camera, a scene monitor, an infrared light source and a beamsplitter (see Fig. 1).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (571)272-2340.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,047 Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott J. Sugarman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2873

sjs August 22, 2005